

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 1/30/2015

PHOENIX LIGHT SF LIMITED, in its own right and  
the right of BLUE HERON FUNDING V LTD., BLUE  
HERON FUNDING VI LTD., BLUE HERON  
FUNDING VII LTD., BLUE HERON FUNDING IX  
LTD., KLEROS PREFERRED FUNDING V PLC,  
SILVER ELMS CDO PLC, SILVER ELMS CDO II  
LIMITED, C-BASS CBO XVII LTD. and C-BASS  
CBO XIV LTD., and each of BLUE HERON  
FUNDING V LTD., BLUE HERON FUNDING VI  
LTD., BLUE HERON FUNDING VII LTD., BLUE  
HERON FUNDING IX LTD., KLEROS PREFERRED  
FUNDING V PLC, SILVER ELMS CDO PLC and  
SILVER ELMS CDO II LIMITED, in their own right,

Index No. 14-cv-10104-VEC

CIVIL CASE  
MANAGEMENT PLAN  
AND SCHEDULING  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON  
CORPORATION,

Defendant(s).

This Civil Case Management Plan is submitted by the parties in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).

1. All parties [consent \_\_\_\_\_ / do not consent X] to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial.  
28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. *[If all parties consent, the remaining paragraphs need not be completed. In addition, they shall submit to the Court a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge, available at <http://nysd.uscourts.gov/file/forms/consent-to-proceed-before-us-magistrate-judge>, within three days of submitting this Proposed Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order.]*
2. Except for amendments permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1) and this Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases ("Individual Practices"), amended pleadings may not be filed and additional parties may not be joined except with leave of the Court. Any motion to

45

amend or to join additional parties shall be filed within 210 days from the date of this Order. *[Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 30 days following the initial pretrial conference.]*

3. Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than 30 days from the date of this Order. *[Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 14 days following the initial pretrial conference.]*
4. *[If applicable]* The plaintiff(s) shall provide HIPAA-compliant medical records release authorizations to the defendant(s) no later than N/A.
5. Discovery
  - a. All fact discovery shall be completed no later than August 31, 2016. *[A date not more than 90 days following the initial pretrial conference, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]*
  - b. All expert discovery, including reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions, shall be completed no later than January 31, 2017. *[Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 45 days from the date in paragraph 5(a) (i.e., the completion of all fact discovery).]*
  - c. In the case of discovery disputes, the parties should follow Local Civil Rule 37.2 with the following modifications: Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute with the Court must first meet and confer in good faith with the opposing party, in person or by telephone, in an effort to resolve the dispute. If this process fails and the Court's intervention is required, the parties must jointly call Chambers to schedule a joint teleconference with the Court for prompt resolution of the dispute. The Court will determine during the teleconference whether additional submissions will be required.
6. Counsel for the parties believe the following alternative dispute resolution mechanisms may be helpful in resolving this case (check all that apply):
  - ☐ Immediate referral to the District's Mediation Program
  - ☐ Immediate referral to a Magistrate Judge
  - ☐ Referral to the District's Mediation Program after the close of fact discovery
  - ☐ Referral to a Magistrate Judge after the close of fact discovery
  - ☐ Other
7. This case [is X / is not       ] to be tried to a jury.
8. Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below.

~~BNYM proposes a stay of all discovery while BNYM's motion to dismiss is pending.~~  
Alternatively, BNYM proposes limited discovery during that period, including the exchange of written discovery (document requests and interrogatories, and responses thereto) and negotiation



of (i) a protocol for producing electronically stored information, (ii) search terms for document discovery, (iii) a protective order, and (iv) the number and length of depositions and number of interrogatories. BNYM proposes that document discovery commence within thirty days following such decision. ~~Plaintiffs object because they believe that the parties' document productions should begin in the near term, particularly the production of document exception reports, loan schedules, supplemental loan schedules, and centrally maintained files for each trust.~~

9. This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended except by further Order of the Court for good cause shown. Unless the Court orders otherwise, parties engaged in settlement negotiations must pursue settlement and conduct discovery simultaneously. Parties should not assume that they will receive an extension of an existing deadline if settlement negotiations fail. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein shall be made by written application no later than two business days before the date sought to be extended in accordance with the Court's Individual Practices.

10. The next pretrial conference is scheduled for September 9, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 443 of the Thurgood Marshall Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York 10007. *[Unless otherwise ordered, 10:00 a.m. on the first Friday after the deadline for completion of all fact discovery as set forth in paragraph 5(a).]*

By Thursday of the week prior to that conference, the parties shall submit a joint letter regarding the status of the case. The letter should include the following information in separate paragraphs:

- a. statement of all existing deadlines, due dates, and/or cut-off dates;
- b. a brief description of any outstanding motions;
- c. a brief description of the status of discovery and of any additional discovery that needs to be completed;
- d. a statement describing the status of any settlement discussions and whether the parties would like a settlement conference;
- e. a statement of the anticipated length of trial and whether the case is to be tried to a jury;
- f. a statement of whether any party anticipates filing a motion for summary judgment or a motion to exclude expert testimony;
- g. any other issue that the parties would like to address at the pretrial conference; and

h. any other information that the parties believe may assist the Court in advancing the case to settlement or trial.

Counsel for the Parties:

Matthew D. Ingber  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
1675 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019-5820  
Tel: (212) 506-2500  
Fax: (212) 262-1910  
Mingber@mayerbrown.com  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

David H. Wollmuth  
WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP  
500 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10110  
Tel: (212) 382-3300  
Fax: (212) 382-0050  
dwollmuth@wmd-law.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**SO ORDERED.**

Date: 1/30/15  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**VALERIE CAPRONI**  
United States District Judge